

Internal Affairs Investigation



IA 25-12-002

CITY OF BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE



January 22, 2026

Adriana Barajas
1210 Marie St.
Brenham, TX 77833

Ms. Barajas,

The Brenham Police Department received a complaint dated December 26, 2025, concerning the conduct of department personnel. We appreciate your bringing this matter to our attention. The Brenham Police Department takes all complaints seriously and remains committed to professionalism, accountability, transparency, and maintaining public trust.

All complaints are handled through a fair, impartial, and comprehensive review process. Each complaint is evaluated based on the facts and evidence gathered during the investigation. Upon completion, allegations are classified under one of the following determinations:

- **Unfounded** – The allegation is not supported by the facts or evidence.
- **Exonerated** – The conduct occurred but was lawful and in compliance with departmental policy.
- **Not Sustained** – The available evidence is insufficient to prove or disprove the allegation.
- **Sustained** – The allegation is supported by verified facts established during the investigation.

Following receipt of the complaint, the department initiated an investigation through its Office of Professional Standards. The investigation began on December 26, 2025, and concluded on January 20, 2026. Investigative steps included interviews, review of relevant records and information, and other appropriate investigative methods.

Upon completion of the investigation, the allegation was classified as **Not Sustained**, meaning there was insufficient evidence to substantiate or refute the allegation.

The Chief of Police reviewed the investigation and concurred with the findings and classification.

PHONE: (979) 337-7337
FAX: (979) 337-7342

www.cityofbrenham.org/police

1800 Longwood Drive
BRENHAM, TX, 77833

CITY OF BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE



While the original allegation was not sustained, the investigation did result in the identification of policy violations unrelated to the original allegation. These matters were addressed through appropriate corrective action in accordance with departmental policy.

The Brenham Police Department appreciates community members who bring concerns forward and remains committed to accountability and transparency. For additional information, questions, or general inquiries, please contact me directly at 979-337-7327.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Gary A. Boshears".

Gary A. Boshears, M. P. A., PGCSL, LCC
Chief of Police

CITY OF BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE



January 16, 2026

To: Sergeant Tiffany Anderson

From: Gary A. Boshears, Chief of Police

Re: Complaint Disposition

Sergeant Anderson,

On Friday, December 26, 2025, the Brenham Police Department received a written complaint containing accusations against you that, if determined to be sustained, could constitute a violation of policies and procedures of the Brenham Police Department and City of Brenham. On this same date, you were placed on paid administrative leave during the Internal Affairs investigation.

Following an investigation by the department's Professional Standards and a final review by the Chief of Police, the allegations against you contained in the complaint have been determined to be Not Sustained.

Effective on today's date, you are reinstated to full duty.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Gary A. Boshears".

Gary A. Boshears, M. P. A., LCC
Chief of Police

I have received, read, and understand this Administrative Leave Notification.

Signature: Date: 1/16/2026

Cc: City of Brenham Human Resources, Lt. Kelvin Raven, Lt. Steven Eilert

CITY OF BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE



December 26, 2025

To: Sergeant Tiffany Anderson

From: Gary A. Boshears, Chief of Police

Re: Administrative Leave Notification

Sergeant Anderson,

On Friday, December 26, 2025, the Brenham Police Department received a written complaint containing accusations against you that, if determined to be sustained, could constitute a violation of policies and procedures of the Brenham Police Department and City of Brenham.

The Brenham Police Department will conduct an internal investigation into this complaint to determine if any policies or procedures of the department were violated. This investigation is being assigned investigation number IA-25-12-002.

Pursuant to City of Brenham Police Department Policy 2.4 (III)(G)(3)(a) and the City of Brenham Human Resources Policy Manual, I am placing you on paid administrative leave pending the outcomes of this investigation.

While on administrative leave, you will turn in your department issued badge, identification, keys, building access cards, cellular phone, and firearms. You are directed not to discuss the details of this investigation with anyone other than your supervisors, the investigator from this department assigned to the administrative investigation, the City of Brenham Human Resources department, or your legal counsel.

Further, while on administrative leave, you should check in at least once per week with your immediate supervisor.

You are further ordered to fully cooperate with this investigation to include, but not limited to, providing a statement to the department's internal affairs investigator immediately at the time requested by the department's internal affairs investigator.

After the conclusion of the investigations, I will meet with you to discuss next steps.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Gary A. Boshears".

Gary A. Boshears, M. P. A., LCC
Chief of Police

CITY OF BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE



I have received, read, and understand this Administrative Leave Notification.

Signature: *J. Anderson* Date: 12/26/2025

Cc: City of Brenham Human Resources, Lt. Kelvin Raven, Lt. Steven Eilert

BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT STATEMENT

DATE 12/26/25

CASE # _____

START TIME 9:00 am

WITNESS _____ SUSPECT _____ VICTIM _____

FIRST NAME <u>Adriana</u>		MIDDLE NAME <u>Alexandra</u>		LAST NAME <u>Barajas</u>	
ADDRESS <u>1210 Marie St</u>		APT	CITY <u>Brenham</u>	STATE <u>Tx</u>	ZIP <u>77833</u>
HOME PHONE	CELL PHONE <u>(512) 808-8239</u>	WORK PHONE		DATE OF BIRTH	
SSN Social Security Number	DL / ID # <u>Motor vehicle</u>	STATE <u>Moto</u>	RACE <u>Hispanic</u>	SEX <u>F</u>	
EMAIL ADDRESS Email address of member of public		OCCUPATION		EMPLOYER NAME	
EMPLOYER ADDRESS			CITY	STATE	ZIP

yesterday when Ramon and I were in the car, he finally admit to me that he was having an affair with Tiffany Anderson. They have been talking for months now and in November it happend they slept together. The reason I know is because [REDACTED] Common-law Privacy
[REDACTED] Common-law Privacy I didn't [REDACTED] Common-law Privacy because he promise me

I UNDERSTAND THAT MY COOPERATION IN THE INVESTIGATION OF MY CASE IS CRITICAL TO ENSURE THAT ALL NECESSARY INFORMATION IS OBTAINED AND PRESENTED TO PROSECUTORS IN A TIMELY MANNER. I UNDERSTAND THAT DETECTIVES OR OFFICERS MAY CONTACT ME AND REQUEST FURTHER INFORMATION OR MATERIALS IN REFERENCE TO MY CASE. I UNDERSTAND THAT FAILURE TO RESPOND TO DETECTIVE OR OFFICER PHONE CALLS AND/OR LENGTHY DELAYS IN PROVIDING REQUESTED INFORMATION WILL RESULT IN MY CASE BEING INACTIVATED (LACK OF COOPERATION). I HAVE PROVIDED MY TRUE AND CORRECT CONTACT INFORMATION AND UNDERSTAND THAT IT IS MY RESPONSIBILITY TO NOTIFY THE BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT (DETECTIVE) IF ANY OF THE INFORMATION CHANGES. I UNDERSTAND THAT DETECTIVES AND PROSECUTORS NEED TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO CONTACT ME IN ORDER TO ASSIST WITH THE INVESTIGATION OF MY CASE.

I have completed 11 years of school/ college and can read, write and understand the English language. I have read this statement and affirm to the truth and accuracy of the facts contained herein. I have given this statement to Derrick of my own free will as witnessed by my signature below.

This statement was completed at (location) Brenham Police Department on this the 26 day of December, 20 25 at (time) 9:33 AM / PM

[Signature]
SIGNATURE OF PERSON GIVING STATEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, JASON DERRICK, a peace officer of the State of Texas and pursuant to §602.002 Texas Government Code, on this the 26 day of December, 20 25

[Signature]
SIGNATURE OF PEACE OFFICER

RIGHT THUMB PRINT

Fingerprints

CONTINUED STATEMENT OF Adriana Alejandra Barajas

that he didn't sleep or did anything, a month when by and I finally _____
Common-law Privacy

_____ Common-law Privacy
Common-law Privacy

I ask him if they had sex at the pd and he said I can't answer that. They talk and text all the time, like they're dating even tho we're still married. He also admit to talking to anothe girl at the Bryan Academy her name is Lily G. I'm afraid for my life. He said that he's _____
Common-law Privacy

_____ Common-law Privacy and has done some thing at work like (dumb decision) hoping it happens. He has multiple guns at home. I want him out the house today. I'm scared! He make me promise him that I wasn't going to say anything because they were going to get fired.

~~AB AB AB AB~~

Al

SIGNATURE OF PERSON GIVING STATEMENT

Subscribed and sworn to before me, JASON DERRICK, a notary public or a peace officer of the State of Texas (pursuant to §602.002 Texas Government Code), on this the 26 day of December, 2025

Jason Derrick
SIGNATURE OF NOTARY PUBLIC/ PEACE OFFICER

Fingerprints



BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT



PHONE: (979) 337-7337
FAX: (979) 337-7342

1800 LONGWOOD DRIVE

P.O. BOX 682
BRENHAM, TEXAS 77834-0682

Administrative Investigation Notice and Garrity Warning

You are required to respond truthfully and completely to all questions posed during this administrative investigation. The purpose of this questioning is to obtain information to determine whether administrative disciplinary action is warranted.

You are not being questioned for the purpose of initiating criminal proceedings. However, you are hereby ordered to answer all questions related to this matter. Failure or refusal to answer fully and truthfully may result in disciplinary action, up to and including immediate termination of employment with the Brenham Police Department.

You are further required to cooperate fully with investigators and to provide any information or assistance requested during the course of this investigation. Failure to do so may subject you to additional disciplinary action, up to and including termination.

In accordance with the United States Supreme Court decision in *Garrity v. New Jersey*, 385 U.S. 493 (1967), any statements you provide during this administrative investigation, as well as any information derived from those statements, may not be used against you in any criminal proceeding.

You are further ordered not to discuss this internal investigation with anyone other than your chain of command or your attorney. This prohibition includes, but is not limited to, witnesses or prospective witnesses. Any violation of this directive will be considered insubordination and may result in disciplinary action, up to and including termination from employment with the Brenham Police Department.

Your signature below acknowledges that you have read, understand, and received this warning prior to the initiation of any investigatory questioning.

Signed this day 26 of December, 2025, at 2:54 pm/am.

Tiffany Andersen
Officer's Signature

Tiffany Andersen
Officer's Printed Name

Dason Derrick
Investigator's Signature

Dason Derrick
Investigator's Printed Name



BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT



PHONE: (979) 337-7337
FAX: (979) 337-7342

1800 LONGWOOD DRIVE

P.O. BOX 682
BRENHAM, TEXAS 77834-0682

IA Case Number: IA 25-12-002

Prohibited Conduct – Inappropriate Relationship between Supervisor and Subordinate

Friday, December 26, 2025

Internal Affairs Investigation Submitted by Sergeant Jason Derrick

On Friday, December 26, 2025, I, Sergeant Jason Derrick, met with Adrianna Barajas in the lobby of the Brenham Police Department. Ms. Barajas is the spouse of Officer Torres and arrived requesting to speak with Lieutenant Raven or Chief Boshears; however, both were out of the office at that time.

Ms. Barajas advised that she wished to file an official complaint against her husband, Officer Torres, and Sergeant Tiffany Anderson. She provided a sworn written statement which is detailed in the summary below.

Summary:

On December 25, 2025, Ms. Barajas stated she was riding in a vehicle with her husband, Officer Ramon Torres, when he informed her, he was having an affair with Sergeant Tiffany Anderson. According to Ms. Barajas, Officer Torres stated they had been communicating for several months, beginning in or around November 2025.

Ms. Barajas stated she believed Officer Torres' admission because approximately one month prior, she [redacted] Common-law Privacy At that time, she suspected her husband of infidelity; however, he denied any wrongdoing. After her [redacted] Common-law Privacy

Common-law Ms. Barajas [redacted] Common-law Privacy

Ms. Barajas further stated she recalled Officer Torres [redacted] Common-law Privacy

Common-law Ms. Barajas stated that after Officer Torres disclosed the affair, she asked him whether they had been engaging in sexual activity while at work or at the police department. Officer Torres refused to answer the question, stating he could not respond.

Ms. Barajas stated she had previously suspected Officer Torres and Sergeant Anderson were involved in a relationship due to their frequent texting and phone conversations, which she described as consistent with a dating relationship. Ms. Barajas also stated Officer Torres admitted to communicating with another female identified as "Lily" while he was attending the Bryan Police Academy.

Ms. Barajas stated she feared for her life due to Officer Torres' [redacted] Common-law Privacy
[redacted] Common-law Privacy

Ms. Barajas stated Officer Torres made her promise not to disclose their conversation, stating he feared both he and Sergeant Anderson would lose their employment with the Brenham Police Department.

The Internal Affairs investigation is detailed by the following:

Upon receiving the complaint from Ms. Barajas and due to its nature, I immediately notified chain of command. Upon review of the complaint made by Ms. Barajas, Chief Gary Boshears ordered internal affairs investigations. IA 25-12-002 was assigned to outline the internal investigation related to Sergeant Anderson for potential policy violations. IA 25-12-003 was assigned to outline an internal investigation related to Officer Ramon Torres for potential policy violations.

Friday, December 26, 2025

At approximately 2:49 p.m., Sergeant Anderson met with Lt. Kelvin Raven and was given the Administrative Leave Notification letter issued by Chief Boshears. Sgt. Anderson was then escorted to internal affairs office for the purpose of conducting an interview regarding the allegations of prohibited conduct between a supervisor and subordinate outlined in Brenham Police Department Policy.

I met Sergeant Anderson in my office for the purpose of conducting an initial interview with Sgt. Anderson. Lieutenant Kelvin Raven was present in the room, and the interview was video and audio recorded. Sgt. Anderson was provided with the Administrative Investigation Notice and Garrity Warning letter, and she signed acknowledging that she received, read, and understands the Administrative Investigation Notice and Garrity Warning letter.

Sergeant Anderson was explained the allegation made by Ms. Barajas, which led to this internal investigation. The written statement made by Ms. Barajas was read aloud to Sergeant Anderson and she was provided with a copy of the sworn statement upon redacting personal information.

Summary of interview (2:52 p.m. - 3:02 p.m.):

Sergeant Anderson denied the allegation that she was involved in an intimate or romantic relationship with Officer Ramon Torres. She described her relationship with Officer Torres as a close friendship that began when he was assigned to her shift. Sergeant Anderson recalled that following the drowning incident, Officer Torres

Common-law Privacy

Sergeant Anderson stated that she and Officer Torres do spend time together; however, this time is limited to training and workout sessions related to preparing for the Emergency Response Team. Other times they spent time together during work hours were primarily for lunch or breaks at Starbucks. She acknowledged that they exchange text messages but described the communication as cordial in nature and typically related to gym workouts.

Sergeant Anderson stated she has met Ms. Barajas once or twice and does not understand why she would file a complaint against her and Officer Torres. Sergeant Anderson indicated that Officer Torres had spoken to her about marital issues, describing his marriage as "rocky."

Sergeant Anderson voluntarily stated that she

Common-law Privacy

as claimed and described by Ms. Barajas. She further advised that she has never engaged in any romantic or intimate relationship with any employee of the Brenham Police Department.

End of Interview

At the conclusion of the interview, I took possession of Sergeant Anderson's department issued cell phone as part of this internal investigation.

At approximately 3:38 p.m., Officer Ramon Torres met with Lt. Kelvin Raven, and he was given the Administrative Leave Notification letter issued by Chief Boshears. He was then escorted to internal affairs office for the purpose of conducting an interview regarding the allegations of conduct unbecoming and prohibited conduct between a supervisor and subordinate outlined in Brenham Police Department Policy.

I met Officer Torres in my office for the purpose of conducting an initial interview with him. Lieutenant Kelvin Raven was also present in the room, and the interview was video and audio recorded. Officer Torres was provided with the Administrative Investigation Notice and Garrity Warning letter, and he signed acknowledging that he received, read, and understands the Administrative Investigation Notice and Garrity Warning letter.

Officer Torres was given a copy of the written statement provided by his wife and allowed to read the statement.

Summary of interview (3:41 p.m. – 4:07 p.m.):

According to Officer Torres, he and Sergeant Anderson are close, and he described their relationship as being "tight." He stated he believes his wife is convinced he cheated on her with Sergeant Anderson because she [Common-law Privacy] Officer Torres acknowledged that his wife also made comments regarding [Common-law Privacy] which he stated were true. He reported that he [Common-law Privacy] [Common-law Privacy]

Officer Torres denied having any romantic or intimate relationship with Sergeant Anderson or with anyone else within the department. He stated that while attending the police academy, a female approached him, but he refused her advances. He reported that he later told his wife about this encounter to demonstrate his faithfulness.

Officer Torres admitted he told his wife that he had an affair with Sergeant Anderson; however, he stated this was not true. He explained he made this statement because his wife was being overly accusatory and he believed she would not move past the issue unless he told her what he thought she wanted to hear. Officer Torres stated he believed telling her he slept with Sergeant Anderson would end the accusations. He further stated he no longer wants to remain married.

Officer Torres reported he has [Common-law Privacy] [Common-law Privacy] He stated Sergeant Anderson was one of the few people who understood him and helped him through a difficult period. Officer Torres reiterated he never slept with Sergeant Anderson and described her as a close friend. He stated their communications primarily consisted of text messages related to working out.

Officer Torres expressed frustration regarding the situation, stating Sergeant Anderson [Common-law Privacy] [Common-law Privacy] and that he believes she is now being negatively impacted despite only acting as a supportive friend. Officer Torres stated he did not understand why his wife expressed concerns about her safety, asserting that he has always prioritized the protection of his family.

I inquired whether he [Common-law Privacy] Officer Torres

explained he sent

Common-law Privacy

Common-law Privacy

I asked Officer Torres for permission and consent to examine his personal cell phone for text messages. After reviewing the device, I did not locate any messages to or from Sergeant Anderson. Officer Torres admitted he deleted the messages, explaining he is still living at home and did not want his wife to see the messages because they contained discussions about marital issues. He further stated he believed the messages would demonstrate he was closer to a female officer within the police department than he was to anyone else, including his wife. Officer Torres stated he deleted the messages to keep peace between himself and his wife.

End of Interview

At the conclusion of the interview, I took possession of Officer Torres' department-issued cell phone as part of this internal investigation.

Monday, December 29, 2025

9:28 a.m. – Ms. Barajas provided an email containing multiple screenshots related to her complaint. Included was a screenshot of a social media profile believed to belong to Lily G., which Ms. Barajas stated was provided to demonstrate that Officer Ramon Torres continues to follow the profile on Instagram. Ms. Barajas also provided a screenshot of a social media profile from Facebook belonging to Sergeant Tiffany Anderson, showing that Torres also follows her.

Additionally, Ms. Barajas provided a screenshot of a text message exchange between herself and Officer Torres from Thursday, December 25, 2025. In the exchange, Officer Torres sent a message stating, *"I gave you the truth... The truth to give you peace of mind."* Ms. Barajas responded in Spanish, stating, *Common-law* *Common-law Privacy* The message thread also reflected Ms. Barajas asking Officer Torres whether he told Sergeant Anderson to *"go fuck herself,"* to which Officer Torres responded, *"We said we're not going to make things ugly... u promised me."*

At approximately 3:05 p.m., I met with Ms. Barajas at the Internal Affairs office. During this meeting, Ms. Barajas provided cellular billing statements from Officer Ramon Torres' personal cell phone account. Ms. Barajas' cell phone is listed on the same account, and she stated she previously had access to retrieve billing statements. The billing statements include call and text message logs for each billing cycle. Ms. Barajas provided three billing statements covering the period from October through December 15. She advised that the current billing cycle contains data from December 16 through the present date and was told the statement was not ready.

Ms. Barajas was provided an update regarding the status of the internal investigation. She stated that her husband is now denying the allegations since she filed the complaint.

For clarification, I inquired about the circumstances under which Officer Torres disclosed the alleged affair. Ms. Barajas stated that Officer Torres unexpectedly told her, *"I want to tell you something,"* and asked her to promise not to say anything, stating that EMS and the police department would get them fired. Ms. Barajas stated she told him that EMS and the police department are two separate entities, at which point Officer

Torres dismissed the concern, stating, "No, it's the same thing." Officer Torres then informed her that he had been having an affair with Sergeant Tiffany Anderson and told her that he was disclosing this information as a Christmas present.

Ms. Barajas stated she believed Officer Torres disclosed the affair because he knew she had been suspicious and that he believed telling her the truth would provide her closure. She further stated that Officer Torres told her he was confessing because she had suspected he was cheating with Sergeant Anderson.

When asked how long she believed the relationship had been occurring, Ms. Barajas stated she believed it began around early November. She reported that she [REDACTED] Common-law Privacy [REDACTED] around November 10 and stated that she and Officer Torres had never [REDACTED] Common-law Privacy [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Common-law Privacy [REDACTED]

Ms. Barajas also recalled a conversation in December during which she asked Officer Torres if he loved her. She stated his response was that he loved the children, which led her to believe he no longer had feelings for her.

Ms. Barajas further stated she believed Officer Torres had spoken with a friend and coworker about the affair and that this individual advised him to disclose the information. She identified the coworker as Armando Guerra but stated that Officer Torres told her he never disclosed the identity of the individual with whom he had the affair.

Ms. Barajas also stated that Officer Torres and Sergeant Anderson frequently work out together at Anytime Fitness.

Additional Note:

Ms. Barajas was asked to provide call and text logs for the current billing cycle through December. Upon contacting the cellular provider, she learned that Officer Torres had removed her access to the account. A review of the previously obtained call logs revealed that Officer Torres and Sergeant Anderson communicate routinely, with some calls lasting extended periods of time. These call logs will be added to the Internal Affairs file for further review.

At approximately 3:34 p.m., I met Corporal Armando Guerra in the Internal Affairs office. I advised him that an internal affairs investigation was being conducted involving Officer Torres. Cpl. Guerra stated he was somewhat aware of the situation, as he had previously spoken with Officer Torres.

Cpl. Guerra explained that Officer Torres is like a brother to him and had confided in him regarding issues within his marriage. According to Cpl. Guerra, Officer Torres stated that "he fucked up" and wanted to talk to someone about it. Cpl. Guerra advised that Officer Torres did not provide any specific details, stating he did not want to involve him further.

Cpl. Guerra stated he told Officer Torres that he already knew what he needed to do, meaning he should tell his wife. Officer Torres responded that he intended to tell her the full truth and later stated he would even disclose the details to Cpl. Guerra. Cpl. Guerra advised Officer Torres that he did not want to hear any details.

Cpl. Guerra further stated that Officer Torres later contacted him and advised that he had told his wife the full

truth and that the conversation "got ugly." Officer Torres informed Cpl. Guerra that his wife wanted to notify Lieutenant Raven. Cpl. Guerra stated he responded by telling Officer Torres, "Yeah, it's bound to happen when you fuck up like that."

I asked Cpl. Guerra if Officer Torres had informed him of the identity of the person with whom he was involved. Cpl. Guerra stated Officer Torres did not provide a name, but he assumed it was someone within the department. Cpl. Guerra advised he had a hunch regarding the individual involved but did not want to name anyone, as he had no proof.

I informed Cpl. Guerra that Officer Torres's disclosure to his wife regarding the affair initiated the internal investigation. I then asked whether Officer Torres ever explicitly stated he was having an affair, and Cpl. Guerra advised the only statement made was, "I fucked up."

Cpl. Guerra clarified that he never directly advised Officer Torres to tell his wife, stating he believed Officer Torres already knew he needed to do so and that it appeared to be weighing heavily on him during the holiday period.

Cpl. Guerra was advised he would need to provide a written statement detailing his conversation with Officer Torres. Cpl. Guerra stated he would provide a detailed statement, which will be included in the internal affairs investigation file.

Tuesday, December 30, 2025

I examined both department-issued cellular telephones and identified a text message thread between Officer Torres and Sergeant Anderson. The messages were sent and received using their department-issued cell phones. The same text message thread was not present on Sergeant Anderson's cell phone, indicating the messages had been deleted.

The text message thread spanned from January 15, 2025, through November 13, 2025. Most of the messages appeared to be work-related in nature; however, the final series of messages dated November 13, 2025, appeared more personal and contained a flirtatious tone.

I photographed the messages and preserved them in a PDF format. These messages will be attached to this administrative investigation.

Due to the nature of the content and the apparent deletion of messages, it was determined to be necessary to extract data from both department-issued cell phones.

Monday, January 5, 2026

I contacted the Crime Scene Division of the Bryan Police Department to assist with the extraction of data from department-issued cellular phones. The Brenham Police Department has previously utilized the Bryan Police Department Crime Scene Division for investigative support, primarily for cellular phone data extraction.

The cellular phones were transported to the Bryan Police Department and submitted to the Bryan Police Department Crime Scene Unit Supervisor for the purpose of downloading data from the department-issued cellular phones assigned to Sergeant Anderson and Officer Torres. The cellular phones were believed to contain stored data, including text messages, call logs, photographs, and location data, which could assist in this internal affairs investigation.

Tuesday, January 6, 2026

I had contacted Officer Torres to arrange a follow-up interview, and we arranged the follow-up interview to take place on January 6, 2026, at 2:00 p.m. Officer Torres requested he have his TMPA Representative present or on the phone during the interview. This request was permitted as per our department policy.

At approximately 1:57 p.m., I escorted Officer Torres to the internal affairs office for the follow-up interview. I explained the Garrity Warning was still in effect and inquired if he would like to review the Administrative Investigation Notice and Garrity Warning letter again for clarification.

The purpose of the follow-up interview was to ask more direct questions about the allegation made along with getting specific details regarding information discovered during this investigation.

Summary of interview (4:08 p.m. – 4:36 p.m.):

At the outset of the interview, I read the predetermined questions to Officer Torres. Officer Torres denied having a romantic or sexual relationship with Sergeant Anderson. He did state that he has a personal relationship with Sergeant Anderson, which began shortly after she started working his shift. He explained that they became closer following a drowning incident. Officer Torres stated that Sergeant Anderson seemed to understand him, listened to him, inquired about his well-being, and appeared genuinely concerned for him.

Officer Torres described their relationship as being "tight" and stated that he is closer to Sergeant Anderson than he is to most of his male friends. He acknowledged spending time with Sergeant Anderson outside of work hours solely to work out at the gym. He stated they are both preparing for SWAT school and have been attending Anytime Fitness since approximately October 2025. Officer Torres reiterated multiple times that the only time they spend together outside of work is at the gym.

Officer Torres stated that his only communication with Sergeant Anderson outside of work is related to working out. He denied ever flirting with Sergeant Anderson, except possibly engaging in joking behavior consistent with what he described as a dark sense of humor common among police officers. He reiterated that he has never directly flirted with her.

When asked whether he had ever met with Sergeant Anderson alone during work hours for non-work-related reasons, Officer Torres responded, "No."

Officer Torres admitted that he deleted text messages from Sergeant Anderson. He explained that he is currently going through a contentious divorce. He stated that although he and his wife have been separated for some time, they continue to live in the same residence due to their children. He stated they sleep in separate rooms and that he deleted the messages because he did not want his wife to see them, believing that doing so would cause additional conflict in the home.

When asked whether he deleted messages involving other women, Officer Torres initially stated that he did not want to answer, saying it was his own business. I explained that the purpose of the question was to determine whether he only deleted messages involving Sergeant Anderson. Officer Torres then stated that he understood and answered, "Yeah." Officer Torres appeared defensive during this line of questioning and declined to provide names of other individuals with whom he may have exchanged messages.

Officer Torres stated that he did send and receive a few messages with Sergeant Anderson, which involved them not being able to communicate until after the Internal Affairs investigation.

Officer Torres voluntarily mentioned that he has been communicating with his wife and assisting her with her vehicle. He stated that he did not understand the fear she expressed, noting that she has allowed him to be around her and the children.

I then addressed a text message conversation between Officer Torres and Sergeant Anderson dated November 13, 2025. I provided contextual information regarding that date to assist his recollection, and Officer Torres acknowledged remembering the day in question. The messages were read aloud to him and provided for his review. Officer Torres stated that he recalled some of the messages but noted that the exchange occurred several months prior and he may not remember all of them.

When asked to explain the meaning of the term "socialize" and the reference to "socializing with only one person," Officer Torres stated that Sergeant Anderson is his friend. He stated that he had nothing against Rollings or Crosby but did not want to talk to them. He described Sergeant Anderson as his "best friend". Officer Torres stated that he believed the comment about socializing referred to going to the gym, but he was unsure if they were at the gym that day due to the passage of time. He stated that it is common for him to go to the gym in the mornings.

I then informed Officer Torres that his wife had provided cell phone records, which were reviewed. I asked whether he and Sergeant Anderson communicated through any messaging applications. Officer Torres stated that they primarily communicated through standard text messaging on their phones.

End of interview.

I contacted Sergeant Anderson to arrange a follow-up interview, and she agreed to meet with me later that day at the Brenham Police Department. Sergeant Anderson arrived as scheduled and was escorted to the Internal Affairs office. I advised her that the Garrity warning remained in effect and asked whether she would like to review the Administrative Investigation Notice and Garrity Warning letter again for clarification. Sergeant Anderson stated she understood and did not need to re-read the letter.

The purpose of the follow-up interview was to ask more direct questions about the allegation made along with getting specific details regarding information discovered during this investigation.

Summary of interview (4:08 p.m. – 4:36 p.m.):

At the outset of the interview, I read the predetermined questions to Sergeant Anderson. Sergeant Anderson denied having any type of romantic or sexual relationship with Officer Torres. She stated that they occasionally greet each other with a kiss on both cheeks, which she described as a non-romantic and non-sexual gesture. Sergeant Anderson explained that her relationship with Officer Torres is strictly a friendship and was never intended to be anything more.

Sergeant Anderson stated that the time she and Officer Torres spend together outside of work primarily consists of working out. She explained that both she and Officer Torres have memberships at Anytime Fitness and are preparing for the police department's Special Response Team, which requires physical conditioning.

Sergeant Anderson admitted to deleting text messages between herself and Officer Torres from her department-issued cell phone. She stated that deleting messages is not uncommon for her and that she did not do so with the intent to conceal any information. Sergeant Anderson reported that she never sent or received any messages from Officer Torres that would be considered sexual or romantic in nature.

Sergeant Anderson further stated that she has not had any contact with Officer Torres during the internal affairs investigation, except for sending him a text message advising that they could not communicate while the investigation was ongoing.

I then showed Sergeant Anderson the text messages exchanged between her and Officer Torres that were recovered from Officer Torres's department-issued cell phone. Sergeant Anderson explained that references to wanting to "socialize" were related to working out together earlier that morning. She stated that it is common for them to work out together in the mornings prior to their shift.

End of interview.

The list of questions will be attached to this internal investigation file.

Friday, January 9, 2026

The activity logs obtained from Anytime Fitness for Sergeant Anderson and Officer Torres were reviewed. Officer Torres' activity log for November 13, 2025, shows two fob scans during the early morning hours, at approximately 4:09 a.m. and 5:19 a.m. There were no fob scans recorded for Sergeant Anderson on that date.

A review of the work schedules revealed that Sergeant Anderson was assigned to the day shift from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on November 13, 2025. Officer Torres was not scheduled to work that day; however, he worked an extra-duty assignment at Alton Elementary School from 7:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

I received the Guardian Program links for the extracted cell phone data from the Bryan PD Forensic Tech for Sergeant Anderson and Officer Torres cell phones. A review of the location data revealed the following details:

On 11/10/2025 at 11:17:05 p.m., cell location data for both Sgt. Anderson and Officer Torres indicate they were in the same location or immediate area. The data is classified as "carved data" and may be unreliable. However, the fact that both devices reflect the exact same date and time and place the users within approximately 100–300 feet of each other may be significant.

Sgt. Anderson's cell phone location data indicated she was at Anytime Fitness on the morning of November 13, 2025, within the same general timeframe as Officer Torres's recorded fob activity. This information is consistent with the explanations provided by both Sgt. Anderson and Officer Torres regarding the meaning of "socializing" referenced in the text message thread located on Officer Torres's work-issued cell phone.

Additionally, Sgt. Anderson's cell phone location data showed she was at Alton Elementary School between 2:53 p.m. and 3:10 p.m. on November 13, 2025, the same date Officer Torres was working an extra-duty assignment for school security.

Officer Torres's work-issued cell phone location data showed four pings at Sgt. Anderson's residence during December 2025. On December 19, 2025, the phone pinged twice within a three-hour period. Additionally, on December 24, 2025, the phone pinged twice at or around 4:17 a.m. A review of the patrol schedule indicated Officer Torres was on duty during that time period. Notably, there were no recorded pings at Sgt. Anderson's residence prior to December 2025.

Sgt. Anderson's work-issued cell phone pinged in and around Officer Torres's residence. A review of calls for service (CFS) indicated she was reportedly responding to an active CFS on Marie Street. However, on the

morning of 12/26/2025, there were no recorded calls for service in that area. Additionally, a review of the police department's surveillance footage shows Sgt. Anderson departed the police department at approximately 7:13 a.m. that morning.

Unfortunately, the deleted messages from Sgt. Anderson's work cell could not be retrieved.

Wednesday, January 14, 2026

At approximately 5:21 p.m., I met with Officer NaVone Gurley in the Internal Affairs office and explained the purpose of the interview. Officer Gurley stated he was aware of the investigation and reported that Officer Torres had previously told him about having romantic relationships with other women. Officer Gurley stated that Officer Torres told him he had met someone with whom he felt a strong connection and that their sexual relationship was very good.

During this same time period, Officer Gurley reported that Officer Torres also discussed his close personal relationship with Sgt. Anderson, stating she "really gets him" in relation to what occurred at the creek. Officer Gurley further stated he later heard rumors that Officer Torres and Sgt. Anderson were involved in a romantic relationship; however, he had no direct knowledge of such a relationship. Based on his conversations with Officer Torres and the rumors circulating at the time, Officer Gurley assumed they were romantically involved.

Officer Gurley did not possess direct knowledge or provide any information pertinent to the allegations under investigation.

Thursday, January 15, 2026

I contacted Officer Torres for the purpose of conducting a follow-up interview. During prior interviews, Officer Torres stated that the only time he and Sergeant Anderson spent together outside of work was while working out at the gym. However, work cell phone location data showed Officer Torres was present at Sergeant Anderson's residence on December 19, 2025, and December 24, 2025.

Summary of interview (4:00 p.m. – 4:34 p.m.):

During the follow-up interview, I reviewed Officer Torres's prior statements with him. He again reaffirmed his earlier claim that the primary time he spent with Sergeant Anderson while off duty was at the gym. He did acknowledge they may have gone for coffee or occasionally grabbed something to eat but maintained that their off-duty interactions primarily occurred at the gym.

Officer Torres was advised of the importance of being truthful during an internal affairs investigation, and he acknowledged that he understood. Officer Torres did not confirm being at Sergeant Anderson's residence until he was presented with the work cell phone location data for December 19, 2025, and December 24, 2025. Upon being shown the data, Officer Torres admitted he had been at her residence, stating he went there to drink coffee and talk. Officer Torres stated he did not disclose being at Sergeant Anderson's residence earlier because he believed it would "look bad."

Friday, January 16, 2026

I contacted Sergeant Anderson by telephone and explained I needed to ask a few more follow-up questions to clarify information. Sergeant Anderson acknowledged Officer Torres had come by her residence in the past but did not recall the reason other than to talk and drink coffee. She further explained other co-workers have come by her residence and that it was not abnormal.

Investigation Summary

An internal affairs investigation was initiated following a complaint filed by Officer Torres' wife, alleging that Officer Torres was engaged in a romantic and intimate relationship with his supervisor, Sergeant Anderson.

The investigation included interviews with both Officer Torres and Sergeant Anderson. Both parties denied the allegation and stated that while they maintained a close personal relationship, it was not romantic or intimate in nature.

During the investigation, Officer Torres admitted to deleting text messages exchanged between himself and Sergeant Anderson from his personal cell phone. Sergeant Anderson also admitted to deleting text messages between herself and Officer Torres from her department-issued cell phone.


Data extractions were conducted on the department-issued cellular telephones of Officer Torres and Sergeant Anderson. A review of the extracted data, including location information, confirmed that the two spent time together at a gym and, on at least two separate occasions, at Sergeant Anderson's residence. During a follow-up interview, Officer Torres acknowledged that he had previously omitted the fact that he went to Sergeant Anderson's residence.

The City of Brenham and the Brenham Police Department do not have a policy prohibiting romantic relationships between supervisors and subordinates; however, departmental policy requires notification to the Chief of Police should a romantic relationship develop.

Based on the totality of the information obtained during the internal affairs investigation, the allegations made by Officer Torres' wife regarding Sergeant Anderson's involvement could not be substantiated. The investigation did not reveal sufficient evidence to either prove or disprove the allegations under review.

I have completed my investigation and provided my findings to Chief Gary Boshears for review and appropriate action.

Jason Derrick




Administrative Sergeant – Internal Affairs
Brenham Police Department

On Tuesday, December 23, 2025, I, Armando Guerra, received a phone call from Ramon Torres. It should be noted that Torres and I have developed a close friendship outside of our work relationship.

During this conversation, Torres confided in me regarding relationship difficulties he was experiencing at the time. As a close friend, I encouraged him to continue fighting for his marriage and suggested that he and his spouse seek counseling.

Throughout the conversation, I advised Torres to refrain from sharing in-depth or specific details about his relationship. My intent was solely to offer general guidance and support, without being made aware of the particulars of his personal situation.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Armando Guerra', written in a cursive style.

Follow up IA interview questions.

In relation to these questions. On duty means either while on the clock being paid by the City of Brenham, working secondary employment requiring law enforcement powers.

1. Have you ever had a romantic relationship with this subordinate?
 - a. If yes when did it start, and is it still on-going?

2. Have you ever had a sexual relationship with this subordinate?
 - a. If yes when did it start? Was it on duty or off duty? If on duty where did it occur at? Is it still on-going?

3. Have you ever had a personal relationship with this subordinate?
 - a. If yes when did it start, and is it still on-going?

4. Have you ever spent time with a subordinate outside of on duty hours?
 - a. If yes where, why, and how often?

5. Have you ever communicated with this subordinate outside of on duty hours for personal reasons?

6. Have you ever flirted with this subordinate?
 - a. If so explain the circumstances?

7. Have you ever kissed this subordinate?
 - a. If yes when did this occur, and were any party on-duty?

8. Have you had physical contact with this employee that some might consider intimate or romantic?
 - a. If yes explain what occurred and when?

9. Have you ever touched this subordinate in a way that could be perceived as inappropriate?
 - a. If yes explain what occurred and when?

Follow up IA interview questions.

- 10. Have you engaged in behavior that could reasonably be interpreted as romantic interest?**
 - a. If yes what occurred and when?**

- 11. Have you ever met with this subordinate alone during work hours for non-work reasons?**
 - a. If yes what were the reasons for?**

- 12. Has any physical contact with the subordinate occurred outside what is appropriate in a professional workplace?**
 - a. If yes what contact and when?**

- 13. Have you ever communicated with this subordinate using government-issued devices for non-business reasons?**
 - a. If yes what devices, reasons, and frequency of communication?**

- 14. Have you sent this subordinate any text messages or images of a romantic, sexual, intimate, explicit or inappropriate nature?**
 - a. If yes what was sent, when, and was it on a government-issued device?**

- 15. Have you received text messages or images of a romantic, sexual, intimate, explicit, or inappropriate nature from this subordinate?**
 - a. If yes what was sent, when, and was it on a government-issued device?**

- 16. Have you deleted any text messages or images between you and this subordinate?**
 - a. If yes what was deleted, when was it deleted, why was it deleted, and was it on a government-issued device?**

- 17. Have you asked this subordinate to keep any communication or interaction private?**
 - a. If yes, Why?**

Follow up IA interview questions.

18. Have you discussed any part of this investigation with anyone other than Human Resources, Police Department Command Staff, or your legal counsel?

a. If yes, Who?

19. Have you had any contact with this subordinate after being notified of the investigation taking place?

a. If yes, why and when?



BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT



PHONE: (979) 337-7337
FAX: (979) 337-7342

1800 Longwood Drive
BRENHAM, TX, 77833

CONSENT TO DOWNLOAD DATA FROM DEPARTMENT-ISSUED CELLULAR DEVICE

I, Jason Derrick, am an employee of the Brenham Police Department. I acknowledge that the cellular device described below is the property of the Brenham Police Department.

Device Information:

Make/Model: **Apple iPhone 12**

Phone Number (if applicable): **979.337.3071**

Serial/IMEI Number (if known): **Account number or access code**

I hereby give voluntary consent to authorized representatives of the Bryan Police Department, or its designees, to access, examine, copy, download, and analyze any and all data contained on the above-described department-issued cellular device. This consent includes, but is not limited to, call logs, text messages (SMS/MMS), emails, photographs, videos, application data, internet usage, location data, deleted data, and any other stored or recoverable digital information.

I understand that this consent applies to all data contained on the device, regardless of whether the data is work-related or personal in nature, and that I have no reasonable expectation of privacy in data stored on department-owned equipment.

This consent is given for official police department purposes, which may include administrative review, internal affairs investigation, or other authorized departmental functions.

Employee Name (Printed): JASON DERRICK #3139

Employee Signature: Jason Derrick

Date: 1/16/2025

AFU Mode

Biohazard

Item Number: RW2

FPR Completed: _____

Cell Phone Analysis Worksheet

Case Number: 200100145 Intake Date and Time: 1-5-20@ 1400

Submitting Officer: Jason Derrick Intake CSI: Rebecca Wendt

Submitting Officer E-Mail / Phone Number: _____

Agency: Brenham Police Agency Case Number: IA 2512002

Offense: Internal Affairs Inv. Phone Owner: Tiffany Anderson / Brenham PD

Phone Make: Apple Phone Model / Color: iphone 12

Serial Number: _____ IMEI: Account number or access code

Device Phone Number: 979-337-3071 Alternate Contact Info: _____

Phone Powered On: Yes No Battery Charged: Yes No Connected to Charger

Phone Passcode Type: None 4 Digit 6 Digit Pattern Passcode Complex / Alphanumeric
 Other Unknown

Phone Passcode: 3111 iTunes Backup Password: _____

Airplane Mode: RW Memory Card Size: _____ No SIM Internal SIM SIM Card

SIM Card ICCID: _____

Stolen Device Protection: On Off Disabled Bypassed _____

Pending Consent Search Warrant Deceased Abandoned _____

Judge / Warrant Number: Brenham PD owns phone - Consent given

Phone Damage: _____ Needs Repair Repaired By: _____

Examination Start Date: _____ Operating System: iOS Android Other _____

Examiner: _____ Phone Software Version: 18.0.2

Device Internal Storage: 64GB United Secret Service Lab Number: _____

Cellebrite Version: _____ Cellebrite Version: _____ / _____

- Logical (Partial) Extraction Cellebrite / GreyKey Brute Force FTK Imager
- File System Extraction Cellebrite / GreyKey Partial BFU Axiom Process / Examine
- Advanced Logical Extraction Cellebrite / GreyKey AFU SIM Card _____
- Physical Extraction GreyKey Full File System SD Card _____
- Android Smart Flow Cellebrite Full File System iTunes / Android Backup



552.108

552.108

552.108



552.117

Sergeant Anderson Cell Location Data




552.117

Officer Torres Cell Location Data

Sergeant Anderson Work Cell Phone Data Location for 11/13/2025

552.117

Alton Elementary



Anytime Fitness

Apple iPhone 13 – Officer Ramon Torres Cell Phone Location Data

552.117

552.117

Location data from 9/1/2025 – 12/31/2025 (4 entries for 552.117)

Apple iPhone 13 – Officer Ramon Torres Cell Phone Location Data

552.117

Location data from 1/1/2025 – 9/1/2025 (0 entries for 552.117)


Cell Phone Data Location Comparison





Google Map Address for Sergeant Anderson Residence





Officer Torres Work Cell Phone Data Location 12/24/2025





















Jason Derrick

From: Adriana Barajas <[Redacted]@[Redacted]>
Sent: Monday, December 29, 2025 9:28 AM
To: Jason Derrick
Subject: Adriana Barajas



5:29 🌙



Saturday

7:47 PM



Tiffany Anderson

190
posts

229
followers

303
following

Send it 👍



Followed by ramontorres702

Follow



Best regards

Adriana Barajas
(512) 808-8239

4:38 PM Fri Jan 9

95%

< Back

Overview

Prospects

Members

Schedule

More

Export

Recent Usage

✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 22, 2025 4:02 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 21, 2025 5:58 PM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 21, 2025 3:57 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 20, 2025 3:55 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 19, 2025 3:48 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 18, 2025 3:50 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 17, 2025 4:07 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 15, 2025 11:39 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 14, 2025 5:22 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 14, 2025 4:15 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 7, 2025 4:26 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 5, 2025 5:09 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 3, 2025 4:13 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 2, 2025 7:16 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 1, 2025 5:28 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 1, 2025 4:34 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Oct 30, 2025 4:07 AM

4:37 PM Fri Jan 9

95%

< Ramon Torres Overview Prospects Members Schedule More Export

Recent Usage

✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 20, 2025 3:55 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 20, 2025 3:55 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 19, 2025 4:41 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 19, 2025 3:48 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 18, 2025 3:50 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 17, 2025 5:18 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 17, 2025 4:07 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 16, 2025 4:24 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 14, 2025 4:15 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 13, 2025 5:19 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 13, 2025 4:09 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 9, 2025 5:20 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 9, 2025 4:13 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 8, 2025 5:36 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 7, 2025 5:20 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 7, 2025 4:26 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	Nov 6, 2025 4:32 AM
✓ ANYTIME FITNESS BRENHAM TX @ AF Access Pass 2660 Hwy 36 S (4314)	



BRENHAM POLICE DEPARTMENT



PHONE: (979) 337-7337
FAX: (979) 337-7342

1800 LONGWOOD DRIVE

P.O. BOX 682
BRENHAM, TEXAS 77834-0682

IA Case Number: IA 25-12-002

**Prohibited Conduct – Inappropriate Relationship between Supervisor and Subordinate
Friday, January 16, 2025**

Internal Affairs Investigation Conclusion Statement Submitted by Sergeant Jason Derrick

On Friday, December 26, 2025, I, Sergeant Jason Derrick, was ordered to conduct an internal affairs investigation into allegations of Prohibited Conduct – Inappropriate Relationship between Supervisor and Subordinate and Conduct Unbecoming between Officer Ramon Torres and Sergeant Tiffany Anderson.

Brenham Police Department Policy 2.3 Sexual or Other Illegal Harassment

Section IV. Prohibited Conduct:

- A. *Employees shall not commit or participate in any form of sexual or other illegal harassment.*
- B. *The department considers romantic relationships between supervisors and subordinates potentially non-consensual. Personal relationships between supervisors and subordinates should be brought to the attention of the Chief of Police at the earliest point and determine a proper course of action. Failure to do so may result in discipline.*

Conclusion:

Based on the information obtained during the internal affairs investigation, the allegations made by Ms. Barajas could not be substantiated.

Finding:

Not Sustained — The investigation did not produce sufficient evidence to verify the truth or falsity of the matters under investigation.

Sergeant Jason Derrick
Brenham Police Department

Redaction Log

Reason	Page (# of occurrences)	Description
552.108	27 (2)	---
552.117	30 (2) 32 (1)	---
552.108	27 (1)	---
552.117	28 (2) 29 (1) 30 (1) 31 (2) 32 (1)	---
Account number or access code	25 (1) 26 (1)	Account numbers and access codes are confidential under Section 552.137 of the Texas Government Code.
Common-law Privacy	7 (3) 8 (5) 10 (9) 11 (3) 12 (9) 13 (4) 14 (3) 45 (1)	Pursuant to Industrial Foundation v. Texas Industrial Accident Board (1976), information containing highly intimate or embarrassing facts which are not of legitimate public interest are confidential. This information is withheld under Section 552.101 of the Texas Government Code.
Email address of member of public	7 (1)	---
Fingerprints	7 (1) 8 (1)	Fingerprints and other biometric identifiers are confidential under Section 560.001 of the Texas Government Code.
Motor vehicle information	7 (2)	Information pertaining to driver's licenses, personal license plate numbers, VINS, and other motor vehicle records are confidential under Texas Government Code Section 552.130.

Reason	Page (# of occurrences)	Description
no reason	47 (1)	---
	48 (1)	---
	49 (1)	---
	50 (1)	---
	51 (1)	---
	52 (1)	---
	53 (1)	---
	54 (1)	---
	55 (1)	---
	56 (1)	---
	57 (1)	---
	58 (1)	---
	59 (1)	---
	60 (1)	---
	61 (1)	---
	62 (1)	---
	63 (1)	---
	64 (1)	---
	65 (1)	---
	66 (1)	---
67 (1)	---	
68 (1)	---	
69 (1)	---	
70 (1)	---	
71 (1)	---	
72 (1)	---	
73 (1)	---	
74 (1)	---	
75 (1)	---	
76 (1)	---	
77 (1)	---	
78 (1)	---	
Personal Email address member of the public	41 (1)	---
Social Security Number	7 (1)	Social Security numbers are confidential under Section 552.147 of the Texas Government Code.